

1 October 2.

Exercise 1.1. Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Morse, and fix a generic metric g . Compare the Morse complex of f with that of $-f$. What can you say? (Specifically, what can you get about Poincaré Duality?)

Exercise 1.2. Let $f_i : M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Morse for $i = 1, 2$. What can you say about the Morse complex of $f_1 \times f_2 : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$? (Specifically, what can you get about the Kunnet formula?)

Last time, we argued that $H^\bullet(CF^\bullet_{T \times S^1}(S^1, \Phi_t(S^1))) \cong H^\bullet(S^1)$, where Φ is a Hamiltonian. Today:

Theorem 1.1 (PSS isomorphism). *Let $L \subset M$ be an exact Lagrangian, and assume it can be graded. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$HF^\bullet(L, \varphi(L)) \cong HM_{n-\bullet}(L) \cong H_{n-\bullet}(L).$$

Motivation: observe that vector fields that come from functions tend to have more zeros than arbitrary vector fields. For example, let $X = S^3$. This has all kinds of nowhere vanishing vector fields on it, being a Lie group. But assume $V \in \Gamma(TM)$ is equal to ∇f for some $f : S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By Morse theory, we know a generic function f must have at least two critical points, because $H_\bullet(S^3) = k \oplus k \cong HM_\bullet(S^3, f)$, so CM_\bullet must have rank at least 2. (Alternatively, a function on a compact domain must have a maximum and a minimum.) In general for M compact, a generic f should give ∇f with at least $\sum_{i=0}^{\dim M} b^i(M)$ zeros.

Conjecture 1.1 (Arnol'd). *Let $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a generic Hamiltonian and $L \subset M$ a compact Lagrangian. Then $\#(L \cap \Phi_1^{X_H}(L)) \geq \sum b^i(L)$.*

The PSS isomorphism is a very special case of the Arnol'd conjecture.

Note that the ring structure on $H^\bullet(L)$ is much less information than the ring structure on $\Omega^\bullet(L)$. For example, it's a theorem (called a formality theorem) when $H^\bullet(X) \cong \Omega^\bullet(X)$ as a commutative differential graded algebra. This happens when X is a Lie group, S^n , or Kähler; such manifolds are called "formal manifolds".

Idea of PSS construction. Standing assumption: $CF^\bullet(L, \varphi^H(L))$ is equal to $CF^\bullet(L, L)$ where the pseudoholomorphic $(\cdot)^{0,1} = 0$ equation is perturbed compatibly with H . Idea: let $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ with $(du - X_Y)^{0,1} = 0$. Near $\pm\infty$, u converges to a Hamiltonian chord along H_t . So a generator for $CF^\bullet(L, L) = CF^\bullet(L, \varphi^H(L))$ is the same thing as a time-1 chord from L to itself.

How do we get a map to $CM_{n-\bullet}$? Fix $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, g a metric on L . Let $q \in \text{Crit}(f)$. We study the following moduli space: let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L$ be such that $-\infty \mapsto q$ and $\dot{\gamma}(t) = -\nabla f(\gamma(t))$. Let $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic strip attached to (pinched at) $\gamma(0)$ on one end and having x at the other satisfying "some conditions".

Let $M(x, q)$ be the moduli space of $\{(\gamma, u)\}$. Define the PSS map to be

$$\Phi : CF^k(L, L) \rightarrow CM_{n-k}(L, f)$$

$$x \mapsto \sum_q \#M_{[0]}(x, q)q.$$

There's a map in the other direction

$$\Psi : CM_{n-k} \rightarrow CF^k$$

$$q \mapsto \sum \#M(q, x)x.$$

For this to induce a map on H^\bullet , we need to check that $d\Phi = \Phi d$ and $d\Psi = \Psi d$. It turns out that one can compactify the one-dimensional component of $M(x, q)$. From $q, \gamma, \gamma(0), u, x$ we get possible boundary elements that look like $q, \tilde{\gamma}, q', \tilde{\gamma}', \tilde{\gamma}(0), u, x$ and $q, \gamma, \gamma(0), x', x$. Our assumptions prevent bubbles popping out either on the strip or on the gradient trajectory. Thus

$$0 = \#(\partial\overline{M}_{[1]}(x, q)) = d_{Morse}\Phi \pm \Phi d_{Floer}.$$

The same proof works for Ψ .

How do we see this is an isomorphism on cohomology? Consider $\Phi \circ \Psi : CM_{n-k} \rightarrow CF^k \rightarrow CM_{n-k}$. The picture is a gradient trajectory from q to a point, expanding into a holomorphic strip at x , followed by another attached holomorphic strip going to another point, which has a gradient trajectory to q' . What PSS does (see Albers) is exhibit a cobordism from the 0-manifold counting such pictures to the 0-manifold counting gradient trajectories from q to q' . Note that in either case, the only possible γ, u are the constant ones. So $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id}$.

Now consider $\Psi \circ \Phi : CF^k \rightarrow CM_{n-k} \rightarrow CF^k$. Now we're counting pictures consisting of a holomorphic strip from x' to a point, then a gradient trajectory to q , then a gradient trajectory to another point, then a holomorphic strip to x . We can construct a cobordism from the 0-manifold counting this to a 0-manifold counting holomorphic strips between x' and x . The way to do this is to change the relevant PDEs so that the gradient trajectories shrink to the point q , then cut off the strips near q and glue them together. Since $|x| = |x'|$, there are only constant strips. \square

Some notes/details:

—How do we actually define $M(x, q)$? It is the pullback of the map $ev_0 : G(q) \times M(x) \rightarrow L \times L, (\gamma, u) \rightarrow (\gamma(0), u(-\infty))$, to L under the map $\Delta : L \rightarrow L \times L$, where

$$G(q) = \{\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M \mid \gamma(-\infty) = q, \dot{\gamma} = -\nabla f\}$$

$$M'(x) = \{u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M \text{ such that } \dots\}.$$

Here $(du - \beta X_Y)^{0,1} = 0$, where β is a function on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ such that $\beta \equiv 1$ on $t \gg 0$ and $\beta \equiv 0$ on $t \ll 0$, so $u(-\infty) \in L, u(+\infty) = x, u|_{\mathbb{R} \times \{0,1\}} \subset L$.