5 HW 5 Solutions

5.1 Multiple choice.

You get +1 for every response correctly chosen, and -1 for every response you incorrectly choose.

Based on what we have defined in this class, which of the following notions make sense?

- (a) For a function between two metric spaces to be continuous.
- (b) For a function between two topological spaces to be continuous.
- (c) For a function between two metric spaces to be continuous.
- (d) For a function between two topological spaces to be convergent.
- (e) For a function between two topological spaces to be open.
- (f) For an open subset of a topological space to be convergent.
- (g) For a subset of a metric space to be open.
- (h) For a subset of a topological space to be open.
- (i) For a sequence in a metric space to be convergent.
- (j) For a sequence between two metric spaces to be convergent.
- (k) For a sequence between two metric spaces to be continuous.
- (l) For a topological space to be convergent.
- (m) For an element of a metric space to be convergent.
- (n) For an element of a topological space to be continuous.

Answers: (a), (b), (c), (e) has not yet been defined, but it does turn out to make sense in the literature, (g), (h), (i).

5.2 Multiple choice.

You get +1 for every response correctly chosen, and -1 for every response you incorrectly choose.

Let X be a set and $E \subset X \times X$ an equivalence relation. Which of the following is true?

- (a) If $A, A' \subset X$ are two equivalence classes, then either $A \cap A' = \emptyset$ or A = A'.
- (b) x and x' are in the same equivalence class if and only if $x \sim x'$.
- (c) X/\sim is empty if and only if X is empty.
- (d) The function $X \to X/\sim$ is an injection.
- (e) The function $X \to X/\sim$ is a surjection.

(a) is true. Suppose $A \cap A' = \emptyset$. Since A and A' are non-empty by definition of equivalence class, we have that A does not equal A'. Now suppose that $A \cap A' \neq \emptyset$, and let $x \in A \cap A'$. Then A = [x] and A' = [x], so A = A'.

(b) is true. Suppose x, x' are both in an equivalence class A. One of the properties of an equivalence class is that then $x \sim x'$. On the other hand, another property of equivalence class is that if $x \in A$ and $x' \sim x$, then $x' \in A$. This proves the converse.

(c) This is true. X/\sim is the set of equivalence classes; so if X/\sim is empty, X has no equivalence classes; but by reflexivity, every element of X defines some equivalence class, so if X has no equivalence classes, X must be empty. On the other hand, if X is empty, X/\sim is empty because X has no equivalence classes. (By definition, an equivalence class must be non-empty.)

(d) This is false in general. For example, let $E = X \times X$.

(e) This is true. Every equivalence class [x] is the image of an element $x \in X$.

5.3 Proof (10 points)

Let (X, \mathfrak{T}_X) be a topological space. We say that (X, \mathfrak{T}_X) is *Hausdorff* if and only if the following holds:

For any $x, x' \in X$ with $x \neq x'$, there exist open sets $U, U' \subset X$ such that

- 1. $x \in U$ and $x' \in U'$, and
- 2. $U \cap U' = \emptyset$.
- (a) Suppose that d_X is a metric on X, and \mathcal{T}_X is the topology induced by d_X . (So $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$ if and only if U is a union of open balls.) Prove that (X, \mathcal{T}_X) is Hausdorff. (That is, any metric space is Hausdorff.)
- (b) Let $(X, d_X) = (\mathbb{R}, d_{std})$ and consider the following equivalence relation on \mathbb{R} : We say that $x \sim x'$ if and only if there is a *non-zero* real number t such that tx = x'. Show that X/\sim is not Hausdorff.

(a) Since $x \neq x'$, we know $d(x, x') \neq 0$. We showed in class that $d(x, x') \geq 0$ in general, so d(x, x') > 0. Let r = d(x, x')/2. Then the open balls U = Ball(x, r) and U' = Ball(x', r) are both open subsets of X. On the other hand, they have no intersection. Proof by contradiction: If $z \in U \cap U'$, then

$$d(x,z) + d(z,x') \ge d(x,x') = 2r$$

but we know that d(x, z) + d(z, x') < 2r.

(b) If x, x' are non-zero, then t = x'/x is a non-zero real number. So \sim has two equivalence classes: [0] and [1].

Thus X/\sim has exactly two elements, [0] and [1]. The only subsets of X/\sim that contain [0] are given by

$$\{[0]\}\$$
and $\{[0], [1]\} = X.$

But $\{[0]\}$ is not open, because its preimage is in \mathbb{R} i not open. (The preimage is the set $\{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, and this is not open because it contains no open ball of positive radius, for example). Thus the only open set of X that contains [0] is X itself.

In particular, if any open set $U' \subset X$; ~ contains [1], its intersection with X is non-empty.

This shows that X/\sim is not Hausdorff.

5.4 Extra Credit (5 points)

Give an example of two topological spaces X and Y, together with a continuous surjection $f: X \to Y$, where Y does not have a quotient topology induced by any equivalence relation on X.

5.5 Extra Credit (5 points)

True or false: If X and Y are Hausdorff topological spaces, then their product is Hausdorff. You get no credit unless you justify your answer.

5.6 Extra Credit (5 points)

True or false: Every non-Hausdorff topological space is the quotient of a Hausdorff topological space.