## Homework 11 Solutions

## Proof (10 points)

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and fix a sequence of elements

 $x_1, x_2, \ldots$ 

in X. Choose also  $x \in X$ .

Recall that we say this sequence *converges to* x if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N > 0 so that

$$i > N \implies d(x_i, x) < \epsilon.$$

- (a) Suppose X is a metric space and that  $A \subset X$  is a closed subset. Then for any convergent sequence  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  converging to x, if  $x_i \in A$  for all *i*, then  $x \in A$ .
- (b) Suppose X is compact, and let  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Show that f achieves a minimum and a maximum—that is, there is some  $x_{\min} \in X$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) \ge f(x_{\min})$ . Likewise, there is some  $x_{\max} \in X$  so that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) \le f(x_{\max})$ .

(a) Note that  $A^C$  is open because A is closed. Thus if  $x \in A^C$  we know (by definition of topology induced by a metric) that there is some  $\epsilon > 0$  for which  $\text{Ball}(x; \epsilon) \subset A^C$ . In particular, there is no point in A which is within distance  $\epsilon$  of x. Thus no sequence in X could converge to  $x \in A^C$  while being fully contained in A. This proves the contrapositive, hence the original statement.

(b) We only prove the statement about the maximal element; the proof of the minimal element is similar, or can be proven by examining the function -f, which is a composition of f with the continuous function  $t \mapsto -t$ .

Because X is compact and f is continuous, the image f(X) is compact. By the Heine-Borel theorem, this means that f(X) is a closed and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Because f(X) is bounded, let us choose t to be the least upper bound of f(X), otherwise known as the supremum of f(X). Then because f(X) is closed, we know that  $t \in f(X)$ , hence there exists some  $x \in X$  for which f(x) = t, and hence  $f(x) \ge f(x')$  for any  $x' \in X$ .

(Here is a more involved argument: Let M be the set of all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \in X \implies t \geq f(x)$ . Choose any  $x_0 \in X$ , and any  $t_0 \in M$ . Now inductively define  $x_{n+1}$  and  $t_{n+1}$  as follows: If the average  $s = (t_n - x_n)/2$  is in M, let  $t_{n+1}$  be this average and let  $x_{n+1} = x_n$ ; otherwise, choose  $x_{n+1}$  to be any element of X such that  $f(x_{n+1})$  is a number between s and  $t_n$ , and set  $t_{n+1}$  to equal  $t_n$ . Then the sequence  $f(x_0), f(x_1), \ldots$  forms an increasing, bounded sequence, and hence have a limit in  $\mathbb{R}$ . By a previous homework problem, because f(X) is closed, this limit is in f(X) itself; thus there is some  $x \in X$  for which f(x) is the limit. By construction, f(x) satisfies the property that it is smaller than or equal to any element of M, and larger than or equal to any element in f(X). This finishes the proof.)